BoxInterference@uspto.gov

Telephone: 571-272-4683 Filed: June 18, 2012

Paper 269

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

GLENN W. **CONNERY**, GARY JASZEWSKI and RICHARD REID Junior Party
(Patent 6,246,683)<sup>1</sup>

v.

LAURENCE B. **BOUCHER**, STEPHEN E. BLIGHTMAN, PETER K. CRAFT, DAVID A. HIGGEN, CLIVE M. PHILBRICK and DARYL D. STARR

Senior Party

(Application 09/692,561)<sup>2</sup>

Patent Interference No. 105,775 (Technology Center 2400)

Before: JAMESON LEE, RICHARD TORCZON, and JONI Y. CHANG, Administrative Patent Judges.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

1

Judgment - Request for Adverse - Bd. R. 127(b)

<sup>1</sup> Based on Application 09/071,692, filed May 1, 1998. The real party in interest is Hewlett-Packard Company.

Filed October 18, 2000. The real party in interest is Alacritech, Inc. Accorded the benefit of Application 09/067,544, filed April 27, 1998, now Patent 6,226,680, and Provisional Application 60/061,809, filed October 14, 1997.

## Interference No. 105,775 Connery v. Boucher

1	On June 11, 2012, junior party Connery filed a paper requesting entry of
2	adverse judgment. The request is granted.
3	It is
4	ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count 1 is entered against junior
5	party GLENN W. CONNERY, GARY JASZEWSKI, and RICHARD REID;
6	FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1-3 and 5-20 of junior party's involved
7	Patent 6,246,683, which correspond to Count 1, are herein cancelled;
8	FURTHER ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count 2 is entered
9	against junior party GLENN W. CONNERY, GARY JASZEWSKI, and
10	RICHARD REID;
11	FURTHER ORDERED that claim 4 of junior party's involved Patent
12	6,246,683, which corresponds to Count 2, is herein cancelled;
13	FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to our holding of unpatentability of
14	Boucher's claims 46-49, in Paper 262 issued March 26, 2012, Boucher's
15	application claims 46-49 are finally refused;
16	FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall note the requirements of
17	35 U.S.C. §135(c) and Bd.R. 205; and
18	FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment shall be entered into
19	the file of Patent 6,246,683 and Application 09/692,561.

Interference No. 105,775 Connery v. Boucher

By Electronic Transmission:

Attorney for Junior Party Connery:

Robert Sachs FENWICK & WEST LLP rsachs@fenwick.com

Anthony M. Zupcic FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO azupcic@fchs.com

Attorney for Senior Party Boucher:

Mark A. Lauer
Thomas W. Lathram
SILICON EDGE LAW GROUP LLP
mark@siliconedgelaw.com
tom@siliconedgelaw.com

Charles G. Gholz OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT cgholz@oblon.com